

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

RYAN BERRY,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALSCO, Inc.

Defendant.

Civil Action No. PX-24-3590

SCHEDULING ORDER

This scheduling order is being entered pursuant to Local Rule 103.9. Any inquiries concerning the schedule should be directed to my chambers, not to the Clerk's Office. Any party who believes that any deadline set forth in this Scheduling Order is unreasonable may request in writing a modification of the Order or that a conference be held for the purpose of seeking a modification of the Order, and any such request must be made on or before the first date set forth in Paragraph I below. Thereafter, **the schedule will not be changed except for good cause.**

This case is subject to electronic filing. Please familiarize yourself with the procedures for electronic filing available at: www.mdd.uscourts.gov. You must use the electronic filing system for filing documents with the Clerk and sending case related correspondence to chambers. **When you electronically file a document that, including attachments, is 15 pages or longer, you also must provide a paper copy of the document and a paper copy of the notice of electronic filing.** The paper copy should be sent to the Clerk's Office, not directly to my chambers.

I. DEADLINES

The following motions or reports must be filed, or actions taken, by the listed date:

February 6, 2026: Initial Joint Status Report, see Part II

February 9, 2026: Rule 16 conference call at **9:30 a.m.** Plaintiff should initiate call to Chambers, (301) 344-0653.

March 9, 2026: Moving for joinder of additional parties and amendment of pleadings

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| March 24, 2026: | Plaintiff's Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosures |
| April 23, 2026: | Defendant's Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosures |
| May 7, 2026: | Plaintiff's rebuttal Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosures |
| May 14, 2026: | Rule 26(e)(2) supplementation of disclosures and responses |
| June 8, 2026: | Completion of Discovery; submission of Post-Discovery Joint Status Report, see Part V |
| June 15, 2026: | Requests for admission |
| July 7, 2026: | Dispositive pretrial motions deadline |

II. INITIAL JOINT STATUS REPORT

By the deadline listed above, the parties must submit an Initial Joint Status Report including the following:

- 1. Requests for Modifications.** The parties shall delineate any modifications they believe are necessary to the deadlines, default deposition hour limit, or other provisions of the Scheduling Order. Any requests to modify the Scheduling Order must be accompanied by proposed new deadlines, deposition hour limits, or other amendments; a comparison of the proposed deadlines, deposition hour limits, or other amendments to the original terms; and an explanation of why the modification is needed.
- 2. Report on Consent to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge.** The parties shall report on whether they consent, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), to have all further proceedings before a Magistrate Judge. Magistrate Judges are generally able to oversee civil cases and conduct civil trials more efficiently than United States District Judges, because of the District Judges' criminal caseload. If the parties consent to have their case heard by a Magistrate Judge, each party shall complete the Consent Form, available at <http://www.mdd.uscourts.gov/publications/forms/MagistrateGeneralConsent.pdf>, and docket those completed forms on CM/ECF as "Correspondence."
- 3. Report on Mediation with a United States Magistrate Judge.** If the parties wish to participate in a mediation session with a Magistrate Judge, whether before, during, or after discovery, they shall provide a target date when they would like mediation to occur. This request will not postpone discovery unless otherwise ordered. Parties should be aware that mediation sessions are generally booked several months in advance. If the parties seek a date within the next two months, they shall explain why

an expedited session is necessary. The parties will be contacted by the Magistrate Judge assigned to the case to schedule the mediation session. Note that if the parties choose to proceed before a Magistrate Judge for all further proceedings, a different Magistrate Judge will be assigned to the mediation session.

4. **Report on the Scope of Discovery.** The parties shall provide a joint summary of the anticipated nature and extent of discovery in this case, with a view towards minimizing wasteful and unnecessary discovery.

III. RULE 16 CONFERENCE CALL

The Court has scheduled a recorded Rule 16 conference call on **February 9, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.** The Court will rule on requests for modification of the Scheduling order at the Rule 16 conference call or by written order. Plaintiff should initiate call to Chambers, (301) 344-0653.

IV. DISCOVERY

A. Initial Disclosures

This is an action in which Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures need not be made.

B. Discovery Conference

This action is exempted from the requirements of the first sentence of Rule 26(d) and from Rule 26(f), such that the parties may, as of the date of this order, commence with discovery. However, parties are expected to: (a) identify the issues subject to discovery, (b) set a discovery plan, (c) determine if the case can be resolved before incurring further litigation expense, and (d) establish a cordial professional relationship.

C. Discovery Procedures

All the provisions of Local Rule 104 apply, including the following:

1. All discovery requests must be served in time to assure that they are answered before the discovery deadline. An extension of the deadline will not be granted because of unanswered discovery requests that have not come due.
2. The existence of a discovery dispute as to one matter does not justify delay in taking any other discovery. The filing of a motion to compel or a motion for a protective order will not result in a general extension of the discovery deadline.
3. No discovery materials, including Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures, should be filed with the Court.

4. Any motion to compel shall be filed only after counsel have conferred and filed a certificate as required by Local Rule 104.7 and shall be filed in accordance with Local Rule 104.8 and applicable CM/ECF procedures.
5. Parties should be familiar with the Discovery Guidelines of this Court, contained in Appendix A to the Local Rules. Appendix D contains guidelines for form discovery requests and confidentiality orders.

D. Deposition Hours

Absent a request to increase or decrease deposition hours, each side shall be limited to 25 hours of depositions of fact witnesses, including parties. If there are two or more parties on a particular side, they must share the allotted deposition time unless the Court rules otherwise upon a request from those parties. Any colloquy engaged in by counsel shall be counted against the deposition time of that counsel's client.

E. Additional Requirements

During the course of discovery, the parties shall adhere to the following requirements:

1. **Cooperation.** As set forth in Local Rule Appendix A: Discovery Guidelines, Guideline 1, the parties and counsel have an obligation to cooperate in planning and conducting discovery to ensure that discovery is relevant to the claims or defenses in the case, not excessively burdensome, and proportional to what is at issue in the case. *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(C) and 26(g)(1)(B)(ii)–(iii).*
2. **Objections Stated with Particularity.** Objections to interrogatories or requests for production must be stated with particularity. Boilerplate objections (e.g., objections without a particularized basis, such as “overbroad, irrelevant, burdensome, not reasonably calculated to identify admissible evidence”), as well as incomplete or evasive answers, will be treated as a failure to answer pursuant to Rule 37(a)(4).
3. **Electronically-Stored Information (ESI).** If either or both parties intend to take discovery of ESI, counsel should review the Principles for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information in Civil Cases. The Principles, prepared by a joint bench/bar committee, are available at <http://www.mdd.uscourts.gov/publications/forms/ESI-Principles.pdf>. The parties are expected to cooperate in determining the search technology, methodology, and criteria to be employed, and the custodians whose files are to be searched, to comply with discovery requests for ESI.
4. **Non-Waiver of Attorney-Client Privilege or Work-Product Protection.** The parties are expected to discuss a non-waiver agreement pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(e) and to promptly notify opposing counsel of any unintended disclosures. If the parties enter into such an agreement, the Court may, pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), order that unintended disclosures do not waive the privilege or protection in proceedings in this case and any other federal or state proceeding.

V. POST-DISCOVERY JOINT STATUS REPORT

On the day of the deadline for Completion of Discovery, *see* Part II, the parties shall file a Post-Discovery Joint Status Report covering the following matters:

1. Whether discovery has been completed;
2. Whether any motions are pending;
3. Whether any party intends to file a dispositive pretrial motion;
4. Whether the case is to be a jury trial or a non-jury trial and the anticipated length of trial;
5. A certification that the parties have met to conduct serious settlement negotiations, to include the date, time, and place of all settlement meetings and the names of all persons participating;
6. Whether each party believes it would be helpful to refer this case to another judge of this Court for a settlement or other alternative dispute resolution (ADR) conference, either before or after the resolution of any dispositive pretrial motion;
7. Whether all parties consent, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), to have a United States Magistrate Judge conduct all further proceedings in this case, either before or after the resolution of any dispositive pretrial motion, including trial (jury or non-jury) and entry of final judgment; and
8. Any other matter that the parties believe should be brought to the Court's attention.

VI. DISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL MOTIONS

If more than one party intends to file a summary judgment motion, the provisions of Local Rule 105.2(c) apply.

After all dispositive motions and any responses have been filed, the Court will advise the parties if a hearing is to be scheduled.

VII. PRETRIAL CONFERENCES

After receiving the Post-Discovery Joint Status Report, the Court will schedule an Initial Pretrial Conference, unless the Post-Discovery Joint Status Report indicates that one or more party intends to file a dispositive pretrial motion. In the latter event, the Court will not schedule the Initial Pretrial Conference until after it has ruled upon the motion (or the dispositive pretrial motion deadline passes without the anticipated motion being filed).

At the Initial Pretrial Conference, the Court will:

1. Set a deadline for submitting the pretrial order pursuant to Local Rule 106, motions *in limine*, proposed voir dire questions, and proposed jury instructions;
2. Set the Final Pretrial Conference date and a trial date; and
3. Inquire whether a settlement or other ADR conference with a judicial officer would be useful. Counsel are expected to confer with their clients about this matter before the Initial Pretrial Conference so they can respond to this inquiry.

VIII. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In any case where attorneys' fees may be sought by the prevailing party, counsel must be familiar with Local Rule 109.2 and Local Rule Appendix B: Rules and Guidelines for Determining Attorneys' Fees in Certain Cases.

IX. COMPLIANCE WITH PRIVACY PROTECTION RULE

Counsel are reminded that the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure were amended, effective December 1, 2007, with the addition of a new Rule 5.2, which has detailed requirements requiring the redaction of filings with this Court that contain an individual's social security number, tax payer identification number, or birth date; the name of an individual known to be a minor; or a financial account number. It is essential that counsel comply with this rule and with the revised version of the Judicial Conference Privacy Policy adopted in March 2008, *available at* www.mdd.uscourts.gov/news/news/privacy_memo.pdf.

Date: January 23, 2026

PAULA XINIS
United States District Judge